



Report for STAT 152-1002 Intro to Statistics 115919 Bowen Liu

Project Title: **UNLV Fall 2021 Course Evaluations**

Course Audience: **44**
Responses Received: **9**
Response Ratio: **20.45%**

Creation Date: **Monday, December 20, 2021**

Overall Course Mean

Competency	Mean
Instructor Items:	3.54
Course Items:	3.69
Total Score	3.61

Evaluation Summary

Course Items

	Resp	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max
This course increased my knowledge.	9	11.11%	55.56%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	3.78	0.67	3.00	5.00
The course objectives were clear.	9	33.33%	55.56%	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%	4.11	0.93	2.00	5.00
This course provided sufficient opportunity for me to learn.	9	11.11%	44.44%	22.22%	22.22%	0.00%	3.44	1.01	2.00	5.00
This course challenged me intellectually.	9	11.11%	55.56%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	3.78	0.67	3.00	5.00
My grades up to this point adequately reflect the quality of my performance in this course.	9	11.11%	33.33%	33.33%	22.22%	0.00%	3.33	1.00	2.00	5.00

Instructor Items

	Resp	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max
The instructor was well prepared for each session.	9	11.11%	22.22%	44.44%	22.22%	0.00%	3.22	0.97	2.00	5.00
The instructor's explanations were clear.	9	11.11%	11.11%	44.44%	22.22%	11.11%	2.89	1.17	1.00	5.00
The instructor was concerned with whether or not the students learned the material.	9	22.22%	55.56%	11.11%	11.11%	0.00%	3.89	0.93	2.00	5.00
The instructor was available for consultation with students.	9	22.22%	33.33%	44.44%	0.00%	0.00%	3.78	0.83	3.00	5.00
The instructor's assessments reflected what was covered in the course.	9	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	4.00	0.87	3.00	5.00
The instructor made a significant impact on my understanding of the course content.	9	22.22%	11.11%	44.44%	22.22%	0.00%	3.33	1.12	2.00	5.00
The instructor's expectations for assignments were clear.	9	33.33%	22.22%	22.22%	22.22%	0.00%	3.67	1.22	2.00	5.00

Learning Ratings

Learning Subscale

	Resp	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max
This course increased my knowledge.	9	11.11%	55.56%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	3.78	0.67	3.00	5.00
This course provided sufficient opportunity for me to learn.	9	11.11%	44.44%	22.22%	22.22%	0.00%	3.44	1.01	2.00	5.00
This course challenged me intellectually.	9	11.11%	55.56%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	3.78	0.67	3.00	5.00
The instructor made a significant impact on my understanding of the course content.	9	22.22%	11.11%	44.44%	22.22%	0.00%	3.33	1.12	2.00	5.00

Assessment Ratings

Assessment Subscale

	Resp	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max
The course objectives were clear.	9	33.33%	55.56%	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%	4.11	0.93	2.00	5.00
My grades up to this point adequately reflect the quality of my performance in this course.	9	11.11%	33.33%	33.33%	22.22%	0.00%	3.33	1.00	2.00	5.00
The instructor's assessments reflected what was covered in the course.	9	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	4.00	0.87	3.00	5.00
The instructor's expectations for assignments were clear.	9	33.33%	22.22%	22.22%	22.22%	0.00%	3.67	1.22	2.00	5.00

Instruction Ratings

Instructor Subscale

	Resp	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max
The instructor was well prepared for each session.	9	11.11%	22.22%	44.44%	22.22%	0.00%	3.22	0.97	2.00	5.00
The instructor's explanations were clear.	9	11.11%	11.11%	44.44%	22.22%	11.11%	2.89	1.17	1.00	5.00
The instructor was concerned with whether or not the students learned the material.	9	22.22%	55.56%	11.11%	11.11%	0.00%	3.89	0.93	2.00	5.00
The instructor was available for consultation with students.	9	22.22%	33.33%	44.44%	0.00%	0.00%	3.78	0.83	3.00	5.00

Comparative Response Analysis

Learning Ratings



Assessment Ratings



Instructor Ratings



Ranking Summary

Highest Ranked Items			
1	The course objectives were clear.	Course Items:	4.11
2	The instructor's assessments reflected what was covered in the course.	Instructor Items:	4.00
3	The instructor was concerned with whether or not the students learned the material.	Instructor Items:	3.89
Lowest Ranked Items			
1	The instructor's explanations were clear.	Instructor Items:	2.89
2	The instructor was well prepared for each session.	Instructor Items:	3.22
3	My grades up to this point adequately reflect the quality of my performance in this course.	Course Items:	3.33

Open Ended Comments

List at least two things positive about this course:

Comments
1. Clear homework objectives
Nice guy, gave us a practice final exam as a study guide.
No answer
I appreciate that the exams are not cumulative, allowing me to focus on the new concepts, which are challenging enough. I also appreciate that the instructor allowed us to use various software applications for the tests.
The tests did a good job reflecting what was taught. The homework helped me learn the material.
Clear instructions and exams covered material from lectures

List at least two things that would improve this course:

Comments
1. Give more time on exams (Up to 2:20)
Tests are incredibly hard with only 6–7 questions. Also don't use R so much during lecture because most of us have no clue about programming (not course requirement) and it takes up lecture time and clarity.
The pace of the course, he goes too fast especially at the end since he is running out of time,
I felt the instructor was not very clear in his explanations, and even when asked to better explain something, I felt the answers were vague and did not provide any clarity. Secondly, I wish there had been more assignments throughout the semester. Since the tests were not cumulative, there were very limited opportunities to master the material. The homework wasn't graded until after the exam, so there was little to no feedback on how well the students grasped the material, and where the instruction could be better tailored to meet the students' needs.
Lectures are very hard to understand. Has very terrible hand writing so the students can't read his notes during lectures. He talks painfully slow. He takes a very long time to grade assignments. The canvas page is confusing. Does a poor job of teaching the material.
Relied too heavily on the R software even though it was not mandatory to learn R for the course, and would have liked more example problems

Additional College or Department Questions

This section could be *blank* if there are no additional questions from the College or Department offering this course.

Mathematical Sciences Course Items

	Resp	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max
This course was well organized.	9	11.11%	33.33%	44.44%	11.11%	0.00%	3.44	0.88	2.00	5.00
The exams and grading system were fair and efficient.	9	11.11%	44.44%	33.33%	11.11%	0.00%	3.56	0.88	2.00	5.00

Mathematical Sciences Instructor Items

	Resp	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max
The instructor helped me understand the course material	9	0.00%	33.33%	44.44%	11.11%	11.11%	3.00	1.00	1.00	4.00
The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject.	9	0.00%	22.22%	55.56%	22.22%	0.00%	3.00	0.71	2.00	4.00
The instructor was accessible and interested in my progress as a student.	9	0.00%	22.22%	66.67%	11.11%	0.00%	3.11	0.60	2.00	4.00
Overall Instructor Evaluation: In general, this instructor is a good teacher.	9	22.22%	11.11%	55.56%	11.11%	0.00%	3.44	1.01	2.00	5.00